

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In the Office Action dated October 15, 2007, the prosecution has been reopened to enable the applicant to respond to two grounds of rejections/objections. Firstly, it is asserted that the Declaration in so far that it uses the language "more or less" is improper under MPEP 1414.

As discussed with the Examiner during an interview which is believed to have taken place on October 24, 2007, contrary to the assertion in the Office Action, the Patent Office own form uses this language "more or less". In fact, there is a decades old experience of using that language. Reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection/objection is therefore requested.


Secondly, it is asserted that the "Consent of Assignee" was not timely filed. Applicant is not sure why, but notes the indication that duplicate of that paper can be filed for entry and consideration. Accordingly Applicant encloses another copy of the Consent of Assignee for recordation herewith.

This application certainly deserves a Notice of Allowance given that it was filed on January 21, 2004, been lost by the Patent Office and was delayed to such extent as to defy logic.

If there is still any remaining issue and the Examiner has specific references to statutory or regulatory a parity that the language in the Declaration is incorrect, the Applicant's undersign representative invites the Examiner to telephone him and such a Declaration will be submitted for signature. Absent such authority, this application be promptly be (finally) allowed.

Respectfully submitted,

THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING
SUBMITTED ELECTRONICALLY
THROUGH THE UNITED STATES
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
EFS FILING SYSTEM
ON DECEMBER 12, 2007


MAX MOSKOWITZ
Registration No.: 30,576
OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB & SOFFEN, LLP
1180 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036-8403
Telephone: (212) 382-0700